

**CORPUS ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH
COURSEBOOKS IN TERMS OF
AUTHENTIC, GRAMMATICAL AND
LEXICAL CONTENTS**

Samet KARA & Ali Şükrü ÖZBAY

**CORPUS ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH COURSEBOOKS IN TERMS OF AUTHENTIC,
GRAMMATICAL AND LEXICAL CONTENTS**

Authors: Samet KARA & Ali Şükrü ÖZBAY

ISBN: 978-605-7523-88-4

All responsibility for the content of the book belongs to authors.

Copyright © Vizetek

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Publications coordinator: Fulya ÖZÇELİK

Graphic Design & Layout: Sadık HANGÜL

1. Edition: Ankara- August, 2021

Printing:

Vadi Grafik Tasarım ve Reklamcılık Ltd. Şti. İvedik Org. San. 1420. Cad. No: 58/1
Yenimahalle/ANKARA

Tel: 0 312 395 85 71

Publisher Certificate No: 41575

Printing Certificate No: 47479

Communication:

Harbiye Mah. Hürriyet Cad. No: 56/A Dikmen Çankaya/ANKARA

Phone: (0312) 482 00 11

Web: www.vizetek.com.tr

E-mail: vizetkyayincilik@gmail.com

**CORPUS ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH
COURSEBOOKS IN TERMS OF
AUTHENTIC, GRAMMATICAL AND
LEXICAL CONTENTS**

Samet KARA & Ali Şükrü ÖZBAY

Foreword

"Interesting question. The concepts seem close, but we suspect a careful analysis would locate differences. Can a dog have authenticity?"

[Notes on Authenticity-Noam Chomsky]

It is a long consensus by now that teaching and learning a foreign language presented some challenges to be overcome by both the language teachers and learners. One of the biggest challenges in foreign language teaching and learning is the abstractness feature of the language. Starting to learn a foreign language at an early age, some young learners are faced to overcome huge challenges in comprehending abstract points of the language. At this point, materials like coursebooks concretizing language concepts to young learners have a significant influence on language learning. One common problematic issue shared in many coursebooks is the unnatural, inappropriate and inauthentic language that cannot appropriately represent the target language itself or its culture and cannot also prepare students for real-life situations. Thus, it is widely claimed that coursebooks seem to lack authentic texts representing personal preferences and biases of their writers. Considering the fact that exposing authentic language to the learners seems to be significant in the countries teaching English as a foreign language, using coursebooks presenting authentic language may be crucial. Therefore, this book attempts to respond to the needs of the EFL teachers and curriculum planners who struggle with finding the right kind of coursebook for their language classrooms. As the writers of this book, we firmly believe that a close examination of English coursebooks prepared for the world market may help language teachers and curriculum planners to make corpus informed decisions related to the principles such as originality, authenticity, and naturalness of these materials. The research that inspired this book was conducted by Samet KARA and Assist. Prof. Dr. Ali Şükrü Özbay.

Samet KARA is currently working as an English teacher in Turkish State school, and is the writer of the MA thesis named “*EFL Teachers’ Views and Corpus Analysis of English Coursebooks Used in Turkish State Schools in terms of Authentic Grammatical and Lexical Contents with a Specific Focus to the Use of “Must”*”.

Assist. Prof. Dr. Özbay is working as a Doctor of Applied Linguistics in the Department of English Language and Literature at Karadeniz Technical University, Turkey and is known for his research on Semantic Prosody, Lexical Priming and English Phraseology as well as expository and academic argumentative corpora for tertiary level EFL learners (KTUCALE and KTUCLE). Dr. Özbay’s research on phraseology and knowledge guided the collaborative research effort that produced corpus-based coursebook evaluation strategies in this book.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	VII
Acknowledgement.....	XV
List of Abbreviations.....	XVII
Definition of Key Terms	XVIII

CHAPTER 1

1. Introduction to Corpus Analysis of English Coursebooks	1
1.1. Problems of English Coursebooks.....	5
1.2. Aims, Scope and Significance of The Study	7

CHAPTER 2

2. Corpus Based Evaluation of English Coursebooks for Authentic Content	13
2.1. The Importance of English Language.....	13
2.2. The English Language in Turkish Context	15
2.3. The Role of Coursebooks in Language Teaching and Learning	16
2.3.1. Advantages of Using Coursebooks.....	20
2.3.2. Disadvantages of Using Coursebooks	22
2.4. Authentic Language and Theoretical Framework	23
2.5. The Role of Authentic Materials in Language Teaching and Learning	26
2.6. Studies Related to Authenticity in The World.....	28
2.7. Corpus Linguistics.....	31
2.8. Material Evaluation	35
2.9. Corpus-Based Coursebook Evaluation	39
2.10. The Background of Corpus-Based Material Evaluation.....	42

CHAPTER 3

3. Theoretical and Methodological Steps of The Study.....	47
3.1. Research Design of The Study	47
3.2. Participants and Settings.....	49
3.3. Research Instruments.....	50
3.3.1. Questionnaire.....	50
3.3.2. Semi-Structured Interview	56
3.3.3. Corpus Tool	56
3.4. Data Gathering and Analysis	59

CHAPTER 4

4. Corpus Based Analysis.....	65
4.1. Analysis of The Qualitative Data.....	65
4.1.1. Authenticity	66
4.1.2. Naturalness	67
4.1.3. Language Structures	68
4.1.4. Hours of English Lessons in a Week.....	69
4.1.5. Appropriateness of Students' Proficiency Levels	69
4.1.6. Four Basic Skills Usage.....	70
4.1.7. General Features of Coursebooks	71
4.1.8. Positive Comments About Coursebooks	72

CHAPTER 5

5. Corpus Based Analysis.....	75
5.1. Analysis of The Quantitative Data	75
5.1.1. Demographic Features of The Sample Group	75
5.1.2. Analysis of The Questionnaire	78

5.1.2.1. Authenticity	79
5.1.2.3. Language Structures in The Coursebooks	82
5.1.2.4. Real Life Situations	83
5.1.2.5. General Features of Coursebooks.....	85
5.1.2.6. Culture	87
5.1.2.7. Role of Coursebooks	87
Re-Use of The Same Coursebooks	88

CHAPTER 6

6 Analysis of Mone Cc and Ref Cc Corpora.....	91
6.1 Analysis of The Comparisons Across “Mone Cc” And “Ref Cc” Corpora.....	91
6.1.1. Lexical Data Analysis In Mone Cc and Ref Cc.....	91
6.1.2. Verb Tenses in Positive and Negative Forms Comparisons	101
6.1.3. Verb Usage in Tenses	107
6.1.3.1. Simple Present Tense.....	108
6.1.3.2. Present Continuous Tense	110
6.1.3.3. Simple Past Tense.....	111
6.1.3.4. Past Continuous Tense.....	113
6.1.3.5. Simple Future Tense	115
6.1.3.6. Present Perfect Tense.....	117
6.1.3.7. Past Perfect Tense.....	119

CHAPTER 7

7. The Use of Modals in English Coursebooks	123
7.1. Modals in Positive and Negative Forms Comparisons	123
7.1.1. Modals in Positive Forms	123
7.1.2. Modals in Negative Forms	126

7.1.3. Modals in Total.....	128
7.2. Verb Usage in Modals	129
7.3. A Specific Focus to Use of “Must” In Both Mone Cc and Ref Cc .	144
7.3.1. The Forms of “Must”	144
7.3.2. The Subject Distribution Used with “Must”	147
7.3.3. The Usage Patterns Used With “Must” in Both Corpora.....	148
7.3.3.1. The Usage Pattern “It Must Be”	150
7.3.3.2. The Usage Pattern “It Is A Must”	152
7.3.3.3. The Usage Pattern “This Must Be”	153
7.3.3.4. The Usage Pattern “There Must Be”	154
7.3.3.5. The Usage Pattern “I Must Admit”	155
7.3.3.6. The Usage Pattern “That Must Be”	156
7.3.3.7. The Usage Pattern “One Must Be”	158
7.3.3.8. The Usage Pattern “I Must Say”	159

CHAPTER 8

8. Implications of Findings.....	161
References	170
Appendix A: Informed Consent	189
Appendix B: Permission Document	191

Figure 1: Global Ranking of Countries and Regions According to English Skills by EF English Proficiency Index in 2018.	10
Figure 2: List of Countries by English Speaking Population	13
Table 1: Fields of Linguistics that Use Corpora	34
Figure 3: Previous English Textbook Studies	35
Figure 4: Textbook Evaluation Criteria	38
Table 2: Corpus-based Coursebook Studies in Literature	45
Table 3: Coursebooks which were used to compilation for the MONE CC and REF CC	58
Table 3: Frequencies and Percentages of Semi-Structured Interview Codes	65
Table 4: The Gender of the Participants	76
Table 5: The Educational Information of the Participants	76
Table 6: The Working Institutions of the Participants	77
Table 7: The Working Experiences of the Participants	77
Table 8: The Graduation Departments of the Participants	78
Table 9: Scale Statistics	78
Table 10: Authenticity	79
Table 11: Naturalness	81
Table 12: Language Structures	83
Table 13: Real Life Situations	84
Table 14: General Features of Coursebooks	86
Table 15: Culture	87
Table 16: Role of Coursebooks	88
Table 17: Re-use of the Same Coursebooks	89
Table 18: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among the Most Frequent “Adjectives” used in MONE CC and REF CC	92

Table 19: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among the Most Frequent “Verbs” used in MONE CC and REF CC 95

Table 20: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among the Most Frequent “Nouns” used in MONE CC and REF CC 97

Table 21: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among the Most Frequent “Adverbs” used in MONE CC and REF CC 99

Table 22: The Comparison of the Tenses in Positive Forms 102

Table 23: The Comparison of the Tenses in Negative Forms 103

Table 24: The N-pmw and Log-likelihood Comparisons of the Tenses in Total..... 104

Table 25: Tenses and Aspect N-Pmw Values in MONE CC..... 106

Table 26: Tenses and Aspect N-Pmw Values in REF CC 106

Table 27: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Simple Present Tense” in MONE CC and REF CC 108

Table 28: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Present Continuous Tense” in MONE CC and REF CC..... 110

Table 29: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Simple Past Tense” in MONE CC and REF CC 112

Table 30: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Past Continuous Tense” in MONE CC and REF CC 114

Table 31: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Simple Future Tense” in MONE CC and REF CC 116

Table 32: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Present Perfect Tense” in MONE CC and

REF CC	118
Table 33: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Past Perfect Tense” in MONE CC and REF CC	120
Table 34: The Positive Form Comparisons of the Modals in MONE and REF CC.....	123
Table 35: The Negative Form Comparisons of the Modals in MONE and REF CC.....	126
Table 36: Modals Total Comparison.....	128
Table 37: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Can” in MONE CC and REF CC	130
Table 38: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Could” in MONE CC and REF CC.....	132
Table 39: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Have/Has to” in MONE CC and REF CC	134
Table 40: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “May” in MONE CC and REF CC	136
Table 41: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Should” in MONE CC and REF CC	137
Table 42: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Will” in MONE CC and REF CC.....	139
Table 43: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Would” in MONE CC and REF CC.....	140
Table 44: Log-likelihood Ratio and Significance Level Comparison among verbs used with “Must” in MONE CC and REF CC	142
Table 45: The Forms of Must in REF CC and MONE CC	146
Table 46: Subjects used with the modal “must” in both corpora ...	147
Table 47: Usage patterns of “Must” in MONE CC and REF CC... 	148